Dynamic Response of Mobile Elevating Work Platform under Wind Excitation
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This paper deals with the possibility of aerodynamic instability occurrence in the mobile elevating work platform (MEWP) structure. The vibrations of the structure excited by the von Kármán street and the movement induced vibrations (galloping phenomenon) are being analyzed. Based on the results obtained by calculations on the model of the real MEWP structure it is concluded that the aerodynamic instability may occur even within the range of permitted operating velocities. Furthermore, this paper points out the possibility of suppressing undesirable dynamic effects by applying concepts of active (intelligent) structures.
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0 INTRODUCTION

Various functional demands require also different concepts of supporting structures for mechanical handling and construction machinery. There are two groups of mentioned supporting structures, which differ according to their ability of changing geometry: structures with unchangeable geometry (e.g. gantry and tower cranes) and structures with changeable geometry. Typical representatives of structures with variable geometry are mobile elevating work platforms (MEWPs) and a series of construction machines.

During operation, the above mentioned machines are exposed to time-varying loads, from deterministic to stochastic. Extreme influences of the operation environment must be taken into consideration during the structural design of supporting structures. The supporting structures designed under extreme influences are exceptional and not rational, because their potential loading capacity is used during a very short period, considering their lifetime [1]. This fact, which applies to the supporting structures in mechanical engineering, as well as to the supporting structures in civil engineering, created the need to offer a different concept of the supporting structures design. The nature of this concept is "to satisfy all load cases producing the maximum stress in the structure by controlled prompt response providing internal counteraction of the structure at the first signal of such loads occurring, whereby the distribution of structural stiffness is changed in order to optimally adapt to receive the inbound load" [2].

Change of the structural geometry produces variations of the dynamic parameters – distribution of mass and stiffness. Change of structural geometry for modern machines is generally performed by hydrocylinders. At the same time, they represent the structural elements transferring loads. Accordingly, because of functionality reasons, changeable structures contain the actuators performing a desired response to the dynamic influence of the environment. That is particularly important having in mind that generally both fundamental and extreme loads are dynamic.

This paper discusses the possibility of occurrence of dynamic instability of the MEWP supporting structure under wind excitation. This class of machines is adopted because the supporting structures of the latest MEWPs generation are characterized by a considerable flexibility. In current practice, when calculating MEWP supporting structures, all dynamic effects are reduced to static ones, by introducing dynamic factors. This approach is satisfactory if the construction is not exposed to periodic excitations. However, in specific cases, owing to the relatively high flexibility of the MEWP structure, some self-excited vibrations brought about by the wind flow may occur. Namely, the cage mounted at the end of the boom is, as a rule,
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in the shape of a rectangular parallelepiped, so it behaves in the stream of air as an aerodynamic unstable profile.

According to the previously mentioned facts, it is reasonable to require a proper control of pre-existing actuators (hydrot cylinders) in order to make active supporting structures of MEWPs. In this way that would also provide their functionality and motion stability [3] and [4] in variable operating environment conditions.

Prediction and mathematical description of the phenomenon of aerodynamic instability, as well as the control of the dynamic behavior of the MEWP structure requires understanding of the bluff – body vortex excitation mechanism and fluid structure interactions. According to [5], the following three types of flow – induced excitation are recognized:

- \( EIE \) → extraneously induced excitation (e.g. turbulent buffeting, periodic pulsation of oncoming flow);
- \( IIE \) → instability – induced excitation (flow instability inherent to the flow created by the structure under consideration), e.g. excitation induced by the von Kármán street;
- \( MIE \) → movement induced excitation (fluid forces that arises from the movement of the body or eventually of a fluid oscillator), e.g. galloping.

1 MATHEMATICAL MODELS OF MEWP STRUCTURE

The rigidity of the vehicle frame including the system for supporting the platform during operation, as well as that of the superstructure column, is considerably higher than the rigidity of the telescoping linkages, Fig. 1. Hence, in the discussed problem, the deformability of the vehicle frame, stabilizers and the superstructure column can be neglected, i.e. the above mentioned structural elements are treated as rigid bodies.

Telescoping linkage is carrying the cage on its end and enabling the motion of the cage in the working space and presents the system of elastic bodies with infinite degrees of freedom (DOF). According to the facts given in [6] that:

- the aerodynamic force caused by the vortex shedding practically always excites the vibrations corresponding just to the one natural frequency of the system, especially the fundamental one;
- the galloping vibrations always occur only in one particular mode shape.

The problem of possible dynamic instability of the MEWP under wind excitation is analyzed for single-DOF oscillator shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

Fig. 1. Mobile elevating work platform

Fig. 2. Dynamic model of MEWP exposed to Karman vortices – horizontal plane

Fig. 3. Dynamic model of MEWP in the case of galloping - vertical plane
Dynamic parameters of the model shown in Figs. 2 and 3 can be relatively easily defined by applying FEM. The substructure of the telescoping linkage is modeled by line-type finite elements – the linkage segments are modeled by beam-type finite elements, while the hydrocylinders are modeled as truss-type finite elements. The joints between telescoping segments are locally released of DOF in order to truly model the transfer of loads between segments.

The equivalent stiffnesses at the attaching point in the lateral direction ($c_{\theta\theta}$) and vertical direction ($c_{\theta\phi}$), are calculated as inverse values of the FEM model response on the applied corresponding unit force. After defining the corresponding natural circular frequencies of the linkage ($\omega_{\theta}$) by applying FEM, its reduced mass is calculated based on the expression:

$$m_{r,\theta(y)} = \frac{c_{\theta(y)}}{\omega_{\theta(y)^2}}$$

If the live load and the mass of the cage are denoted as $m_{qy}$, then the total concentrated mass of the model is defined as (2):

$$m_{r,H(y)} = m_{r,\theta(y)} + m_{q}$$

The models shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are also including the effect of structural damping. In available literature the data on the numerical values of the logarithmic decrement is comparatively scarce. For the supporting structure under consideration, based upon the data given in [7] and [8], it can be adopted that the range of the value of logarithmic decrement for the fundamental mode of vibrations is $\delta_{\phi} = 0.03 \ldots 0.08$.

Consequently, in addition to the spring restitution force

$$F_E = c_{H(y)} \dot{x}(y),$$

and the force of structural damping

$$F_K = -\delta_{\phi} c_{H(y)} \dot{x}(y),$$

the cage is affected also by the aerodynamic force. That single force can be resolved into two components: drag force $F_D$ in the direction of flow velocity and lift force $F_L$ perpendicular to the flow direction, Figs. 2 and 3. The intensities of the components of aerodynamic forces are calculated based on the expressions [9]:

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2} C_D \rho v^2 S,$$

$$F_L = \frac{1}{2} C_L \rho v^2 S,$$

whereby $C_D$ and $C_L$ are the aerodynamic coefficients of lift and drag, $\rho$ and $v$ are the density and velocity of the oncoming fluid stream, while $S = WH$ is the reference area ($W$ and $H$ are width and height of the cage, respectively).

Equation of motion for the model shown in Figs. 2 and 3 can be written as:

$$m \ddot{q} + c \left(1 + \frac{\delta_{\phi}}{\pi} \right) \dot{q} = F.$$  

(1)

Excitation caused by aerodynamic force is denoted by $F$. For the model shown in Fig. 2 $q = x$, $\dot{q} = \dot{x}$, while for the model shown in Fig. 3, $q = y$, $\dot{q} = \dot{y}$.

2 VIBRATIONS OF MEWP STRUCTURE EXCITED BY THE VON KÁRMÁN STREET

Transverse flow around bluff bodies, such as a prismatic body (rectangular cylinders), could give rise to the phenomenon called flow-induced vibration due to the periodic shedding of vortices from either sides of the body. According to [10], the following cases of excitation induced by the von Kármán street are possible, Fig. 4:

- LEVS → leading –edge vortex shedding;
- ILEV → impinging leading –edge vortices;
- TEVS → trailing – edge vortex shedding;
- AEVS → alternate – edge vortex shedding.

Taking into account the real relations between characteristic dimensions of the MEWP shape – elongation ratio $L/W < 3$, Fig. 2, it is conclusive that for analyzing vibrations perpendicular to the direction of velocity of the oncoming flow, the relevant case is LEVS.

The frequency at which vortex shedding takes place largely depends on the Reynolds number and the body shape. It can be expressed by Strouhal number

$$S_t = \frac{f^* W}{v},$$  

(2)

where $f^*$ is the vortex shedding frequency, $W$ is the effective diameter of the body (characteristic dimension - cage width, Fig. 2) and $v$ is velocity of coming air flow. The numeric value of the
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Fig. 4 [11]. Classes of vortex formation observed with increasing elongation of different prismatic bodies: Class I leading – edge vortex shedding; Class II impinging leading – edge vortices; Class III trailing – edge vortex shedding

By introducing the excitation derived in Equation (3) into the equation of motion of the model (1) shown in Fig. 2, this equation becomes:

\[ m\ddot{x} + c_0 \frac{1}{\pi} x = F_{L0} e^{i\delta} \]

Due to damping, the response of the model for the initial conditions is transient. The amplitude of the steady-state response and the phase angle can be derived based on the expressions (4) and (5).

\[ a = \frac{F_{L0}}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta_m}{\pi} \frac{\Omega}{\omega}\right)^2}} \]

\[ \psi = \arctg \left[ -\frac{\frac{\delta_m}{\pi} \frac{\Omega}{\omega}}{1 - \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega}\right)^2} \right] \]

The amplitudes of the steady-state response are relatively small as long as the frequency of vortex shedding matches the natural frequency of the oscillator. In the vicinity of that...
frequency occur significantly larger values of amplitudes and interaction between the body in a fluid flow and the air stream. Thereupon, the frequency of the oscillator "controls the vortex – shedding phenomenon even when variations in flow velocity displace the nominal Strouhal frequency away from the natural mechanical frequency by a few percent" [12], Fig. 6. This phenomenon is known as the lock – in effect.

Fig. 6 [12]. Evolution of vortex shedding frequency with wind velocity over elastic structure

The intensity of the flow velocity that leads to the resonance (critical wind velocity) of the oscillator shown in Fig. 2, can be defined by the expression (2), where $f^* = f$ (f is the natural frequency of the oscillator shown in Fig. 2),

$$v_c = \frac{f \omega}{S_t}$$

(6)

while the amplitude of resonant vibrations based on the expression (4), by substituting $\Omega = \omega$, can be derived as

$$a_r = \frac{F_{Le} \pi}{c \delta_k}$$

(7)

The expression for approximate defining of the critical wind velocity is given in the reference [13]:

$$v_c = \frac{5D}{T}$$

(8)

In equation (8) $D$ is the reference dimension of the body and $T$ period of the first mode of vibrations. In [13] it is concluded that the occurrence of the resonance is stipulating the increase of the load caused by the wind action by $0.8\pi/\delta_k$ times. That means an increase of ca. 50 times for $\delta_k = 0.05$.

3 MOVEMENT INDUCED VIBRATIONS OF MEWP STRUCTURE

Under certain conditions for the class of profiles characterized by negative lift – curve slope, the phenomenon of large – amplitude at low – frequency oscillations in the direction normal to the flow (known as galloping) may occur. For predicting the galloping phenomenon for prismatic bodies it is common to use Parkinson’s quasi – steady theory.
Vortex shedding is caused when a fluid flows past around a bluff body. Fig. 7a presents a typical bluff body – square prism – moving downwards with the velocity \( v \) perpendicular to the free stream velocity \( \dot{v} \). In this case the intensity of the relative flow velocity is defined as

\[
v_r = \sqrt{\dot{v}^2 + v^2}.
\]

The angle of attack is

\[
\alpha = \arctg \frac{\dot{v}}{v}.
\]

\[ (9) \]

Therefore, to define projection \( F_y(\alpha) \) it is necessary to know the dependence of coefficients \( C_L(\alpha) \) and \( C_D(\alpha) \) for the considered profile. According to the quasi–steady theory, the curves presenting the dependencies of the lift and drag coefficients on the angle of attack, Fig. 8, give a good base for analytical description of the galloping phenomenon [14].

\[ (10) \]

\[ (11) \]

\[ (12) \]

\[ (13) \]

If \( F_y(\alpha) \) is reduced on the free stream velocity, then the expression (11) can be written as

\[
F_y(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \mu H W \left( \frac{\dot{v}}{\cos \alpha} \right)^2 \times \left[ C_L(\alpha) \cos \alpha + C_D(\alpha) \sin \alpha \right] = \frac{1}{2} \rho H W \dot{v}^2 C_y(\alpha),
\]

whereby

\[
C_y(\alpha) = \frac{C_L(\alpha)}{\cos \alpha} + \frac{C_D(\alpha)}{\cos \alpha} \frac{\tan \alpha}{\cos \alpha}
\]

is the transverse fluid force coefficient. Its numerical values are defined based on the expression (13), or experimentally, Figs. 9 and 10. The experimental variation of \( C_y = C_y(\alpha) \) can be usually represented by an odd polynomial,

\[ C_y = A_1 \alpha - A_2 \alpha^3 + A_3 \alpha^5 - A_4 \alpha^7. \]

According to [14], numerical values of coefficients for the profile shown in Fig. 9 are:
\( A_1 = -5.75, A_3 = -42.4, A_5 = 11000 \) and \( A_7 = 187000 \).

In the vicinity of point \( y = 0 \), wherein \( \alpha \approx \frac{\dot{y}}{v} = 0 \), expression (12) can be written as:

\[
F_y(\alpha) = \left. \frac{\partial F_y(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \right|_{\alpha=0} \approx 0
\]

\[
= \left. \frac{1}{2} \rho HW^2 \left( \frac{dC_{L,k}}{d\alpha} + C_D \right) \alpha \right|_{\alpha=0} = 0
\]

Then the differential equation of motion of the model shown in Fig. 3 becomes:

\[
m\ddot{y} + c \left( 1 + i \frac{\delta_k}{\pi} \right) \dot{y} = - \frac{1}{2} \rho HW^2 \left( \frac{dC_{L,k}}{d\alpha} + C_D \right) \dot{y}.
\]

(14)

In the case of harmonic vibrations \( \ddot{y} = i\omega y \) so that the equation (14) can be written in a form:

\[
m\ddot{y} + c \left( 1 + i \frac{\delta_k}{\pi} \right) \dot{y} + \rho HW^2 \left( \frac{dC_{L,k}}{d\alpha} + C_D \right) y = 0.
\]

(15)

Finally, by further transformations the equation (15) becomes

\[
m\ddot{y} + c \left( 1 + i \frac{\delta_k}{\pi} \right) \dot{y} = 0
\]

(16)

whereby, the aerodynamic logarithmic decrement is

\[
\delta_d = \frac{\pi \rho HW L}{4 m \omega^2} \left( \frac{dC_{L,k}}{d\alpha} + C_D \right) \theta_0
\]

(17)

while, the reduced frequency of the oscillator is

\[
\omega^* = \frac{\omega L}{2\nu}
\]

As it is known from the theory of the linear single-degree-of-freedom oscillator, the condition

\[
\delta_k + \delta_d \leq 0
\]

(18)

is enough for instability. With regard to the fact that

- The structural damping is positive,
- All terms from the right side of the equation (17) are always positive, except \( \frac{dC_{L,k}}{d\alpha} + C_D \),

it is conclusive that the necessary condition of the oscillator instability, whose motion is described by equation (16) is

\[
\left( \frac{dC_{L,k}}{d\alpha} + C_D \right) < 0,
\]

(18)

which presents the well-known Den-Hartog criterion.

Based on the expressions (17) and (18) it is possible to define the intensity of wind velocity (critical wind velocity) that may lead to the galloping phenomenon.

\[
\nu_c = \frac{2 m \omega^* \delta_k}{\pi \rho HW \left( \frac{dC_{L,k}}{d\alpha} + C_D \right) \theta_0}
\]
4 NUMERICAL EXAMPLES AND COMMENTS

The possibility of aerodynamic instability occurrence is analyzed for two characteristic positions of the MEWP structure, Fig 11. For calculations the adopted mass of the cage with live load is \( m_0 = 150 \text{ kg} \).

![Fig. 11. Positions of MEWP structure](image)

4.1. Vibrations of MEWP Structure Excited by the von Kármán Street

Based on the dynamic parameters of the MEWP linkage (MEWPL), table 1, dynamic parameters of the reduced dynamic model given in Fig. 2 are defined, table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( c_H )</th>
<th>( m_{R,H} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8034</td>
<td>158.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Dynamic parameters of the MEWPL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>( c_H )</th>
<th>( m_H )</th>
<th>( f_H )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>222.7</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8034</td>
<td>308.4</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Dynamic parameters of the model

Based on the expression (6) the obtained intensities of the wind velocities causing the resonance are: \( v_{r,1} = 9.7 \text{ m/s} \) in position 1, i.e. \( v_{r,2} = 7.8 \text{ m/s} \) in position 2.

The effects of the cage height and structural damping on the values of resonant amplitudes calculated according to the expression (7), are shown in Fig. 12.

![Fig. 12. Dependence of the resonant amplitudes on the cage height (H) and logarithmic decrement of structural damping (\( \delta_H \))](image)

Based on the obtained results it is conclusive:
- The wind velocities that may cause the resonant state are in the scope of velocities permissible during MEWP operation;
- The resonant amplitudes of the free end (attaching point of the cage) of the MEWP structure are producing the level of stress that may drive the structure into failure.
4.2. Movement Induced Vibrations of the MEWP Structure

Dynamic parameters of the model shown in Fig. 3 are defined based on the corresponding dynamic parameters of the MEWPL, tables 3 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>$\varphi$</th>
<th>$c_V$ N/m</th>
<th>$m_{k_V}$ kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23700</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>66400</td>
<td>104.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The intensities of the critical wind velocities in characteristic positions of MEWPL (Fig. 13) are obtained for the reference dimensions of the gate $H = 1.2$ m and $L = 1.2$ m, Fig. 3. The obtained results indicate that the galloping vibrations may occur even for the wind velocities permitted during MEWP operation.

Table 4. Dynamic parameters of the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>$c_V$ N/m</th>
<th>$m_H$ kg</th>
<th>$\omega_V$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23700</td>
<td>194.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>66400</td>
<td>254.6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 CONCLUSION

The modern methods in design and optimization, as well as the implementation of the micro alloyed steels are significantly contributed to the decrease of the self-weight of mobile handling and construction machines. But, on the other hand, these facts resulted in the considerably increased flexibility of the mentioned structures and lower natural frequencies. In that way favorable conditions for the occurrence of the systems resonance are set up.

The basic goal of this paper is to indicate a certain aspect of dynamic behavior of the mobile machines supporting structures, which has been completely neglected in the references dealing with the problems of calculation of these structures. Namely, the subject discussed here is the possible occurrence of the resonant states under the wind excitation that may bring about detrimental effects, i.e. considerably reduce the machine’s operating performances. However, the examination of a model that is not a prototype of some real system is of little interest unless it produces some general conclusions, which can be applied to other, related configurations [15]. For that reason the approach presented in this paper can be applied for analyzing dynamic behavior of similar machines under wind excitation.

The intention of this paper’s authors is also to point out the real possibility of aerodynamic instability in the service conditions of MEWP, as well as the necessity of appropriate analysis of their dynamic behavior. The goal of the said analysis is to define conditions that may cause undesirable dynamic effects. Degradation of the MEWP performances can be avoided by making its supporting structure active, i.e. able to react to instant environment conditions and to set its dynamic characteristics in accordance with the environment influences [4]. Already existing hydrocylinders can be used as a structure active element, that is, one which action represents the structure response to instant environment actions. Actuator identification, which will be used to
obtain optimal structure adaptation to instant condition, is a complex process which shall include the work of the different profile experts.

Finally, the authors' intention is to point out the necessity for a more comprehensive dynamic analysis of the supporting structures of mechanical handling and construction machines, as an element of complex service systems, particularly keeping in mind the more and more prominent trend of their automation and robotization. It should result in a more extensive approach to designing.
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